



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/812,099	03/30/2004	Patrick E. Patterson	07650003C1	3091		
23345 MCGUIREWC	7590 05/02/200° OODS, LLP	7	EXAMINER			
1750 TYSONS	,	SALAD, ABDULLAHI ELMI				
SUITE 1800 MCLEAN, VA	22102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2157			
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			05/02/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

······		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/812,099	PATTERSON, PATR	PATTERSON, PATRICK E.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Salad E. Abdullahi	2157				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addre	ess			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Op relod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this comm BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status	• '						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	February 2007					
2a)□		his action is non-final.					
3)							
<i>,</i> —	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims	,					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 74-102 is/are pending in the application	ation					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· · ·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>74-102</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		by the Examiner.				
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	•				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· •	1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	anta trava hana anasissad	•				
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority			000			
	application from the International Bure		received in this National St	age			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a li	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received				
222 m.s aliabilità asianos ambo asian for a not of the continue copies flot foculyes.							
•	•						
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/812,099 Page 2

Art Unit: 2157

Response

1. The response filed on 2/12/2007 has been received and made of record.

- 2. Applicant's argument with respect to the restriction requirement mailed on 1/25/2007 is persuasive and the restriction requirement has been withdrawn.
- 3. After further reviewing the claims a new double patenting rejection is made.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/812,099

Art Unit: 2157

5. Claims 74-102 are rejected are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-33 of U. S. Patent No. 7,127,515. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because other than minor obvious variations the comparison made to claims 74-102 of the instant application and claims 1-33 of the patented claims reveal that claims 1-33 of the patented claims are simply species of the broader claims 74-102 of the instant application. Hence, claims 74-102 of the instant application are generic to the species of the invention covered by claims 1-33 of the patent. Thus, the broad generic invention is anticipated by the narrower of the species of the patented invention, thus without a terminal disclaimer, the species claims preclude issuance of the generic application.

See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Page 3

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Salad E. Abdullahi whose telephone number is 571-272-4009. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 5:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can

Art Unit: 2157

be reached on 571-272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.

8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Abdullahi Salad
Primary examiner
4/30/2007